

## Gravitational lensing effect on the Hawking radiation of dyonic black holes

Izzet Sakalli\*, Ali Ovgun† and Seyedeh Fatemeh Mirekhtiary‡

*Department of Physics, Eastern Mediterranean University  
Gazimagosa, North Cyprus, Mersin 10, Turkey*

*\*izzet.sakalli@emu.edu.tr*

*†ali.ovgun@emu.edu.tr*

*‡fatemeh.mirekhtiary@emu.edu.tr*

Received 21 February 2014

Accepted 2 June 2014

Published 24 June 2014

In this paper, we analyze the Hawking radiation (HR) of a non-asymptotically flat (NAF) dyonic black hole (dBH) in four-dimensional (4D) Einstein–Maxwell–Dilaton (EMD) gravity by using one of the semiclassical approaches which is the so-called Hamilton–Jacobi (HJ) method. We particularly motivate on the isotropic coordinate system (ICS) of the dBH in order to highlight the ambiguity to be appeared in the derivation of the Hawking temperature ( $T_H$ ) via the HJ method. Besides, it will be shown that the ICS allows us to write the metric of the dBH in form of the Fermat metric, which renders possible of identification of the refractive index ( $n$ ) of the dBH. It is unraveled that the value of  $n$  and therefore the gravitational lensing effect is decisive on the tunneling rate of the HR. We also uncloak how one can resolve the discrepancy about the  $T_H$  of the dBH in spite of that lensing effect.

*Keywords:* Dyonic black holes; gravitational lensing; Hawking radiation; factor-2 problem.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 81Sxx, 81Uxx, 83Cxx, 78Axx

### 1. Introduction

In classical point of view, black holes (BHs) are such objects that due to their huge attractive forces even the light cannot escape from them. But, if we take the quantum effects into account, they could be gray i.e. not entirely black. That surprising claim was made by Hawking [1, 2] over 40 years ago. Hawking thought that the quantum mechanics should allow the particles to tunnel through the event horizon of the BH. After making marvelous calculations, Hawking theoretically showed that BHs should emit a steady flux of thermal radiation with a temperature  $T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$  in which  $\kappa$  is the gravitational field strength at the event horizon. On this basis, a number of new methods have been proposed (a reader may consult [3, 4] for the topical review).

Among those methods, the universal consent one is the tunneling method which is devised by Kraus and Wilczek (KW) [5, 6]. KW proved that the Hawking radiation (HR) can be thought as a dynamical model in which while BH shrinks, the particles radiate. In this dynamical model, both energy conservation and self-gravitational effects which were not considered in the original derivation of HR [1, 2] are taken into account. In fact, they treated each radiating particle as a self-gravitating thin spherical shell with energy  $\omega$ . Hence, by using the null geodesics of the associated particles, it became possible to get the action ( $I$ ) (and henceforth its imaginary part,  $\text{Im } I$ ) of the tunneling particle, which yields the tunneling rate as  $\Gamma \approx e^{-2\text{Im } I}$  and admits the  $T_H$  due to the well-known quantum mechanical result,  $\Gamma \approx e^{-\omega/T}$ . Later on, KW's method was improved by Parikh and Wilczek (PW) [7] in order to show that the spectrum of the HR is not pure thermal, which implies unitarity of the underlying quantum process, and the resolution of the information loss paradox [8, 9].

Among the other methods, the Hamilton–Jacobi (HJ) method which uses the relativistic HJ equation attracts great interest. This method is first devised by Angehben *et al.* [10] (and references therein). They indeed built up an alternative method for calculating  $\text{Im } I$ . The HJ method within the WKB approximation ignores the self-gravitational effect and energy conservation. Generally, the HJ method is employed by substituting a suitable ansatz into the relativistic HJ equation. For the separability, the chosen ansatz should involve the symmetries of the spacetime. The final radial equation is solved by an integration which is along the classically forbidden trajectory starting from inside of the BH and extends to the observation point. But during this calculation, the integral always possesses a pole located at the horizon. This pole can be circumvented by applying the method of complex path analysis [11–13].

According to us, most of the BHs in the macrocosm should be portrayed with non-asymptotically flat (NAF) geometries. The mainstay of our thoughts is the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker [14], which is assumed to be one of the best theoretical models in describing our universe, and as it is known its geometry is NAF. For this reason, we focus on the commonly acceptable NAF BHs in order to compute their  $T_H$  by employing the HJ method. In the same line of thought, we consider the dyonic black holes (dBHs) which are originally found by Yazadjiev [15]. The dBH solutions considered here have two horizons hiding a curvature singularity at the origin. As mentioned in [15], they may serve as backgrounds for non-supersymmetric holography and lead to possible extensions of AdS/CFT correspondence [16]. On the other hand, when the standard HJ method is applied to the dBH which can be expressed in the isotropic coordinate system (ICS), we encounter with a discrepancy between its computed horizon's temperature and its standard  $T_H$ . This discrepancy problem arising during the use of the ICS has become popular anew. Recently, Schwarzschild [17] and the linear dilaton BHs (LDBHs) [18] within the ICS have been thoroughly studied. Inspiring from [18], we shall also calculate the  $n$  of the medium of the dBH. Then, we highlight the effect of  $n$  on the tunneling

rate, and consequently on the  $T_H$ . The latter remark could be associated with the gravitational lensing effect on the HR as in [19].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we introduce and review the dBH. We give its some geometrical and thermodynamical features. For the sake of example, we follow the systematic HJ method for deriving the  $T_H$  of the dBH in its naive coordinates. In Sec. 3, we first transform the naive coordinates of the dBH into the ICS. Then, the effect of the  $n$  on the calculation of the  $\text{Im } I$  is explicitly represented. The obtained horizon temperature is the half of the  $T_H$ . At the last stage of this section, a detailed analytical analysis is performed in order to resolve the differences in the temperatures. We draw our conclusions in Sec. 4.

The paper uses the signature  $(-, +, +, +)$  and units where  $c = G = \hbar = k_B = 1$ .

## 2. dBH Geometry and HJ Method

In this section, we shall first describe the dBH spacetime which is the solution to the four-dimensional (4D) Einstein–Maxwell–Dilaton (EMD) gravity. Its line-element represents a static and spherically symmetric solution in the low-energy limit of the string theory in which gravity is coupled to the electromagnetic field and dilaton. These solutions possess both electric and magnetic charges, and they admit NAF geometry. Then, we will apply the HJ method to the dBH metric given in its naive coordinates in order to show that it concludes with the  $T_H$ .

In the EMD theory [20], the 4D action is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\mathfrak{R} - 2g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \varphi \nabla_\nu \varphi - e^{-2\alpha\varphi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathfrak{R}$  denotes the scalar curvature with respect to the spacetime metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $\varphi$  is the scalar dilaton field with a coupling constant  $\alpha$  and  $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the electromagnetic field. The dBH solution of the above action is given by [15]. Here, we shall take  $\alpha = 1$  as it has been considered very recently in [21]. The dBH spacetime is described by the following line-element

$$ds^2 = -f dt^2 + f^{-1} dr^2 + R^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (2)$$

in which the metric functions are

$$f = \frac{(r - r_+)(r - r_-)}{r_0 r}, \quad R^2 = r_0 r. \quad (3)$$

The constants  $r_+$  and  $r_-$  represent inner and outer horizons, respectively. While  $r_+$  and  $r_-$  determine the value of the magnetic charge  $Q_m$ , another constant  $r_0$  governs the electric charge  $Q_e$ . Those relationships are given by

$$r_0 = \sqrt{2} Q_e, \quad r_+ r_- = 2 Q_m^2. \quad (4)$$

When the  $Q_m$  is vanished by setting the inner horizon to zero i.e.  $r_- = 0$ , the metric functions (3) describe the pure electrical LDBH spacetime. The eponyms of the LDBH are Clément and Gal'tsov [22]. One of the most interesting features of

the LDBHs is that while performing the HR, they undergo an isothermal process. Namely, their HR is such a special process that the energy (mass) transfer out of them typically happens at such a slow rate that thermal equilibrium is always maintained. Today, there are numerous studies on the LDBHs (see, for instance [18, 23–29]).

Due to the NAF structure of the dBH, one should follow Brown and York’s quasi-local mass ( $M$ ) formalism [30]. Thus, one derives a relationship between the horizons and the  $M$  as follows:

$$M = \frac{1}{4}(r_+ + r_-). \tag{5}$$

The  $T_H$  is expressed in terms of the surface gravity  $\kappa$  [31] as follows:

$$T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} = \frac{\partial_r f}{4\pi} \Big|_{r=r_+}. \tag{6}$$

After substituting the metric function  $f$  (3) into the above equation,  $T_H$  of the dBH yields

$$T_H = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_0 r_+}. \tag{7}$$

In the extremal BH solution i.e.  $r_+ = r_-$ , it can be easily seen that we obtain zero temperature, which is a well-known issue.

Here, we focus on the problem of a scalar particle which crosses the event horizon from inside to outside. While it acts this classically forbidden motion, we ignore the back-reaction and self-gravitational effects. Within the semiclassical framework, the action  $I$  of the particle in question should satisfy the relativistic HJ equation which is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu I \partial_\nu I + m^2 = 0, \tag{8}$$

where  $m$  is the mass of the scalar particle and  $g^{\mu\nu}$  represents contravariant form of the metric tensors of Eq. (2). By considering Eqs. (2), (3) and (8), we get

$$-\frac{1}{f}(\partial_t I)^2 + f(\partial_r I)^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} \left[ (\partial_\theta I)^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\varphi I)^2 \right] + m^2 = 0. \tag{9}$$

The technique of separation of variables is the commonly used method for the HJ equation. To this end, the  $I$  is chosen as follows:

$$I = -Et + W(r) + J_k(x^k). \tag{10}$$

So, one finds

$$\partial_t I = -E, \quad \partial_r I = \partial_r W(r), \quad \partial_k I = J_k, \tag{11}$$

where  $J_k$ ’s are constants in which  $k = 1, 2$  label angular coordinates  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$ , respectively. The norm of the timelike Killing vector  $\partial_t$  becomes (negative) unity

at a particular location:

$$r \equiv R_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ r_+ + r_- + r_0 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{(r_+ - r_-)^2}{r_0^2} + 2\frac{(r_+ + r_-)}{r_0} + 1} \right) \right]. \quad (12)$$

It means that when a detector of an observer is located at  $R_0$  which is outside the horizon, the energy of the particle measured by the observer corresponds to  $E$ . After substituting Eq. (11) into Eq. (9) and solving it for  $W(r)$ , we find out that

$$W(r) = \pm \int \frac{\sqrt{E^2 - \frac{f}{R^2} \left[ J_\theta^2 + \frac{J_\phi^2}{\sin^2(\theta)} + (mR)^2 \right]}}{f} dr. \quad (13)$$

The positive and negative signs appeared in the above equation is due to the quadratic form of Eq. (9). Solution of Eq. (13) with “+” signature corresponds to outgoing scalar particles and the other solution i.e. the solution with “-” signature refers to the ingoing particles. Evaluating the above integral around the pole at the horizon (following the Feynman’s prescription given by [11–13, 32]), one reaches to

$$W_{(\pm)} = \pm W_h + \chi, \quad (14)$$

where  $W_h = \frac{i\pi E r_+ r_0}{r_+ - r_-}$  and  $\chi$  is a complex integration constant. Thus, we can deduce that  $\text{Im } I$  arises from the pole at the horizon and the complex constant  $\chi$ . Thence, we can determine the probabilities of ingoing and outgoing particles while crossing  $r_+$  as

$$P_{\text{out}} = e^{-2\text{Im } I} = \exp[-2 \text{Im } W_{(+)}], \quad (15)$$

$$P_{\text{in}} = e^{-2\text{Im } I} = \exp[-2 \text{Im } W_{(-)}]. \quad (16)$$

In the classical point of view, a BH absorbs any ingoing particles passing its horizon. In other words, there is no reflection for the ingoing waves which corresponds to  $P_{\text{in}} = 1$ . This is enabled by setting  $W_{(-)} = 0$  which amounts to  $\text{Im } \chi = \frac{\pi E r_+ r_0}{r_+ - r_-}$ . This choice signifies also that the  $\text{Im } I$  for a tunneling particle solely depends on  $W_{(+)}$ . Namely, we get

$$\text{Im } I = \text{Im } W_{(+)} = 2\pi E r_+ r_0 r_+ - r_-. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, the tunneling rate for the dBH can be obtained as

$$\Gamma = P_{\text{out}} = \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi E r_+ r_0}{r_+ - r_-}\right) \quad (18)$$

and according to [7],

$$\Gamma = e^{-\beta E}, \quad (19)$$

in which  $\beta$  denotes the Boltzmann factor and  $T = \frac{1}{\beta}$ , one can easily read the horizon temperature of the dBH as

$$\check{T}_H = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_+ r_0}. \quad (20)$$

This is equal to the  $T_H$  obtained in Eq. (7).

### 3. Isotropic Coordinates of the dBH and Lensing Effect on Its HR

The universe is homogeneous and isotropic on large scales. Isotropy of the universe is well-known from experiments such as distribution of radio galaxies at Very Large Array in Mexico, Cosmic X-Ray Background and Cosmic Microwave Background at Planck and WMAP satellites [33]. In this section, we are dealing with the ICS. Isotropic coordinates are used in general to kill the horizon and make the time direction a Killing vector. Furthermore, time slices appear as Euclidean with a conformal factor. By using this transformation, the index of refraction,  $n$ , of light rays around the BH can be calculated.

The dBH solution in isotropic coordinates [34] can be found by the following transformation

$$r = r_- + \frac{1}{4\rho}(\rho + \rho_h)^2, \tag{21}$$

where  $\rho_h = r_+ - r_-$  defines the horizon in the ICS. By making this transformation, the metric (2) becomes

$$ds^2 = -Fdt^2 + G(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega^2), \tag{22}$$

with

$$F = \frac{1}{4\rho r_0} \left[ \frac{(\rho^2 - \rho_h^2)^2}{(\rho + \rho_h)^2 + 4r_- \rho} \right], \tag{23}$$

$$G = \frac{r_0}{4\rho^3} [4\rho r_+ + (\rho - \rho_h)^2]. \tag{24}$$

Once we rewrite the metric (22) as follows:

$$ds^2 = F(-dt^2 + \Delta), \tag{25}$$

we obtain the dBH in the form of the Fermat metric [35],

$$\Delta = n^2(d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\Omega^2), \tag{26}$$

in which, as mentioned before,  $n$  is called the index of refraction or the refractive index. For the dBH medium, we compute it as

$$n = \frac{r_0 \sqrt{[(\rho - \rho_h)^2 + 4\rho r_+][(\rho + \rho_h)^2 + 4\rho r_-]}}{\rho(\rho^2 - \rho_h^2)}. \tag{27}$$

When we use the HJ equation (8) on the ICS metric (22), we get

$$\frac{-1}{F}(\partial_t I)^2 + \frac{1}{G}(\partial_\rho I)^2 + \frac{1}{G\rho^2} \left[ (\partial_\theta I)^2 + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\varphi I)^2 \right] + m^2 = 0. \tag{28}$$

It is possible to obtain the solution to the above equation in the following form

$$I = -Et + W_{\text{iso}}(\rho) + J(x^i). \tag{29}$$

Thus we get an integral solution for  $W_{\text{iso}}(\rho)$  as

$$W_{\text{iso}}(\rho) = \pm \int n \sqrt{E^2 - \frac{F}{G\rho^2} \left( J_\theta^2 + \frac{J_\varphi^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) - m^2 F d \rho}. \quad (30)$$

Around the horizon the above solution reduces to

$$W_{\text{iso}(\pm)} = \pm E \int n d\rho. \quad (31)$$

One can easily observe that the  $n$  of the dBH is decisive on the  $W_{\text{iso}(\pm)}$ . After making a straightforward calculation covering the Feynman's prescription, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{iso}(\pm)} &= \pm i 2\pi E r_0 \frac{\sqrt{r_+[(\rho_h + r_-)]}}{\rho_h} + \mu \\ &= \pm i 2\pi E \frac{r_0 r_+}{r_+ - r_-} + \mu, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $\mu$  is another complex integration constant. Similar to the procedure followed in the previous section i.e. setting  $P_{\text{in}} = 1$  which yields  $\text{Im } \mu = 2\pi E r_0 \frac{r_+}{r_+ - r_-}$ , we derive the imaginary part of the action  $I$  of the tunneling particle as follows:

$$\text{Im } I = \text{Im } W_{\text{iso}(+)} = 4\pi E \frac{r_0 r_+}{r_+ - r_-}. \quad (33)$$

Thus, by considering the tunneling rate expressions (18) and (19) one obtains the horizon temperature of the dBH as

$$\tilde{T}_H = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{8\pi r_+ r_0}. \quad (34)$$

As it can be seen above, the result is the half of the standard Hawking temperature;  $\tilde{T}_H = \frac{1}{2} T_H$ . Therefore, we deduce that the present result infers that ICS leads to an apparent temperature of the dBH that it is less than its  $T_H$ . This phenomenon resembles the case that the apparent depth  $h$  of a fish swimming at a depth  $d$  below the surface of a pool is less than the true depth  $d$ . Namely,  $h < d$ . This illusion is due to the discrepancy of the refractive indexes between the mediums. In particular, such events take place when  $n_{\text{observer}} < n_{\text{object}}$ , as happened at here. Because, it can be checked from Eq. (27) that the  $n$  value of the medium of an observer who is placed at the outer region ( $\rho > \rho_h$ ) is less than the  $n$  for the medium of the near the horizon ( $\rho \approx \rho_h$ ). Since the value of  $W_{\text{iso}(\pm)}$  (31) acts as a decision-maker on the value of the horizon temperature  $\tilde{T}_H$  of the dBH, one can deduce that the  $n$  (27), and consequently the gravitational lensing effect, plays an important role on the observation of the true  $T_H$ .

On the other hand, we admittedly know that coordinate transformation of the naive coordinates to the isotropic coordinates should not alter the true temperature of the BH. Since the appearances are deceptive, one should make deeper analysis to get the real BH. This problem has been recently discussed by Chatterjee and Mitra [17] for the Schwarzschild BH and by Sakalli and Mirekhtiary [18] for the LDBH.

They have proven that during the evaluation of the integral (31) around the horizon, the path across the horizon involves a change of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  instead of  $\pi$ . By getting inspired from their studies, here we shall follow the same strategy to overcome that factor-2 problem. For this purpose, we rewrite Eq. (21) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= r_- + \frac{1}{4\rho} (\rho + \rho_h)^2 \\ &= r_+ + \frac{1}{4\rho} (\rho - \rho_h)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

which means that

$$\frac{dr}{r - r_+} = -\frac{d\rho}{\rho} + \frac{2d\rho}{\rho - \rho_h}. \tag{36}$$

The first term at the right-hand side of the above equation does not admit any imaginary part at the horizon. Only the second term of the right-hand side of Eq. (36) admits the imaginary result. On the other hand, in order to match the right-hand side and the left-hand side results in any imaginary contribution coming from  $\frac{d\rho}{\rho - \rho_h}$  must be half of the  $\frac{dr}{r - r_+}$ . The latter remark produces a factor  $\frac{i\pi}{2}$  for the integral (31) and therefore it results in  $\text{Im} W_{\text{iso}(+)} = 2\pi E \frac{r_0 r_+}{r_+ - r_-}$  as obtained in Sec. 3. Thus, we read the horizon temperature as  $\check{T}_H = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{4\pi r_+ r_0}$  which is nothing but the  $T_H$ .

#### 4. Conclusion

In this study, the HR of the dBH which has double horizons is studied via the HJ method. We have first applied the associated HJ method to the dBH metric expressed with the naive coordinates. Thus, we have explicitly shown how the HJ method produces the  $T_H$ . On the other hand, the most interesting part of this paper belongs to Sec. 3 in which the dBH spacetime is transformed into the ICS. In this system, with the aid of the Fermat metric we have managed to determine the  $n$  of the dBH. In particular, it is proven that the  $n$  plays a decisive role on the tunneling rate. Unlike to the naive coordinates, in the ICS the integration around the pole which appears at the horizon has led the factor-2 problem in the horizon temperature. For fixing this discrepancy, we inspired from recent studies [17, 18] which have demonstrated how the proper regularization of singular integrals gives the true horizon temperature, i.e.  $T_H$ , in the ICS. As a result, it has been clarified that the path across the horizon entails the value of  $\frac{i\pi}{2}$  on the integration instead of  $i\pi$ . Our results are in accordance with the work of [18] if one gets the limit  $r_- \rightarrow 0$ .

#### References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Black hole explosions? *Nature (London)* **248** (1974) 30.
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199, Erratum **46** (1976) 206.



- [3] D. N. Page, Hawking radiation and black hole thermodynamics, *New J. Phys.* **7** (2005) 203.
- [4] L. Vanzo, G. Acquaviva and R. Di Criscienzo, Tunnelling methods and Hawking's radiation: Achievements and prospects, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **28** (2011) 183001.
- [5] P. Kraus and F. Wilczek, Self-interaction correction to black hole radiance, *Nucl. Phys. B* **433** (1995) 403.
- [6] P. Kraus and F. Wilczek, Effect of self-interaction on charged black hole radiance, *Nucl. Phys. B* **437** (1995) 231.
- [7] M. K. Parikh and F. Wilczek, Hawking radiation as tunneling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 5042.
- [8] D. N. Page, Black hole information, arXiv: hep-th/9305040.
- [9] J. Preskill, Do black holes destroy information? arXiv: hep-th/9209058.
- [10] M. Angheben, M. Nadalini, L. Vanzo and S. Zerbini, Hawking radiation as tunneling for extremal and rotating black holes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **0505** (2005) 037.
- [11] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, Particle production and complex path analysis, *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 024007.
- [12] S. Shankaranarayanan, K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, Method of complex paths and general covariance of Hawking radiation, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** (2001) 571.
- [13] S. Shankaranarayanan, K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, Hawking radiation in different coordinate settings: Complex paths approach, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** (2002) 2671.
- [14] S. A. Klioner, P. K. Seidelmann and M. H. Soffel, *Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy (IAU S261): Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [15] S. Yazadjiev, Non-asymptotically flat, non-dS/AdS dyonic black holes in dilaton gravity, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **22** (2005) 3875.
- [16] G. Clément and C. Leygnac, Non-asymptotically flat, non-AdS dilaton black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 084018.
- [17] B. Chatterjee and P. Mitra, Regularizing tunnelling calculations of Hawking temperature, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **44** (2012) 2365.
- [18] I. Sakalli and S. F. Mirekhtiary, Effect of the refractive index on the Hawking temperature: An application of the Hamilton–Jacobi method, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **117** (2013) 656.
- [19] F. Belgiorno, S. L. Cacciatori, G. Ortenzi, L. Rizzi, V. Gorini and D. Faccio, Dielectric black holes induced by a refractive index perturbation and the Hawking effect, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 024015.
- [20] K. C. K. Chan, J. H. Horne and R. B. Mann, Spinning black holes in  $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional string and dilaton gravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **447** (1995) 441.
- [21] P. I. Slavov and S. S. Yazadjiev, Hawking radiation of asymptotically nonflat dyonic black holes in Einstein–Maxwell-dilaton gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 084012.
- [22] G. Clément, D. Gal'tsov and C. Leygnac, Linear dilaton black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 024012.
- [23] H. Pasaoglu and I. Sakalli, Hawking radiation of linear dilaton black holes in various theories, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **48** (2009) 3517.
- [24] I. Sakalli, M. Halilsoy and H. Pasaoglu, Entropy conservation of linear dilaton black holes in quantum corrected Hawking radiation, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **50** (2011) 3212.
- [25] I. Sakalli, M. Halilsoy and H. Pasaoglu, Fading Hawking radiation, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **340** (2012) 155.

- [26] S. Mazharimousavi, I. Sakalli and M. Halilsoy, Effect of the Born–Infeld parameter in higher-dimensional Hawking radiation, *Phys. Lett. B* **672** (2009) 177.
- [27] I. Sakalli, Quantization of higher-dimensional linear dilaton black hole area/entropy from quasinormal modes, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **26** (2012) 2263, Erratum **28** (2013) 1392002.
- [28] I. Sakalli, Quasinormal modes of charged dilaton black holes and their entropy spectra, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **28** (2013) 1350109.
- [29] R. Li, Analytical study of grey body factor and quasinormal modes for the scalar field in rotating linear dilaton black hole, *European Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2296.
- [30] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, Quasilocal energy and conserved charges derived from the gravitational action, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993) 1407.
- [31] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984).
- [32] R. P. Feynman and A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [33] R. Morganti and W. J. Couch, Looking deep in the southern sky, in *Proc. ESO/Australia Workshop*, held at Sydney, Australia, 10–12 December 1997. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 114–119.
- [34] A. S. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*, 2nd edn. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1924).
- [35] V. Perlick, Gravitational lensing from a space-time perspective, *Living Rev. Relat.* **7** (2004) 9.